

Spin systems

S countable set "sites"

$\{0,1\}^S$ state space. (note: this is compact)

$x, y \in S$, $\eta, \xi \in \{0,1\}^S$ generic elements

$c(x, y)$ bounded function $S \times \{0,1\}^S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

For $x \in S$, $\eta \in \{0,1\}^S$, write $\eta_x \in \{0,1\}^S$

$$\eta_x(\eta) = \begin{cases} \eta(x) & \text{if } \eta \neq \eta_x \\ 1 - \eta(x) & \text{if } \eta = \eta_x \end{cases}$$

Def: given c , define L by

$$L f(\eta) = \sum_x c(x, \eta) [f(\eta_x) - f(\eta)]$$

$$\text{on } D = \left\{ f \in C(\{0,1\}^S) : \sum_x \sup_{\eta} |f(\eta_x) - f(\eta)| < \infty \right\}$$

Remarks

- If S were finite, then L would be the generator of the Markov chain with

$$q(\eta, \xi) = \begin{cases} c(x, \eta) & \text{if } \xi = \eta_x \\ 0 & \text{if } \xi \neq \eta, \xi \neq \eta_x \\ -\sum_x c(x, \eta) & \text{if } \xi = \eta \end{cases}$$

- Define $\|f\| = \sum_x \sup_{\eta} |f(\eta_x) - f(\eta)|$. For $f \in C(\{0,1\}^S)$, $\|f\| < \infty$ iff \exists summable $\alpha: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that f is Lipschitz continuous with respect to the metric $\rho(\eta, \xi) = \sum_x \alpha(x) |\eta(x) - \xi(x)|$.

Proof: If $\|f\| < \infty$, take $\alpha(x) = \sup_{\eta} |f(\eta_x) - f(\eta)|$. This is non-negative and summable. Let x_1, x_2, \dots be an enumeration of S . For $\eta, \xi \in \{0,1\}^S$, Define η_i by

$$\eta_i(\eta) = \begin{cases} \eta(x_j) & \text{if } j \geq i \\ \xi(x_j) & \text{if } j < i. \end{cases}$$

Then $\eta_0 = \eta$ and $\eta_i \rightarrow \xi$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Since f is continuous,

$$f(\xi) = f(\eta) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f(\eta_{i+1}) - f(\eta_i)$$

$$\Rightarrow |f(\xi) - f(\eta)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |f(\eta_{i+1}) - f(\eta_i)|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sup_{\substack{\eta \\ \eta(x_i) \neq \xi(x_i)}} |f(\eta_{i+1}) - f(\eta_i)|$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha(x_i) |\eta(x_i) - \xi(x_i)|$$

$$= \rho(\eta, \xi). \Rightarrow f \text{ Lipschitz}$$

Conversely, suppose $\exists \alpha$ such that f is ρ -Lipschitz. Then $\forall \eta$

$$|f(\eta_x) - f(\eta)| \leq \rho(\eta_x, \eta) = \alpha(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{\eta} |f(\eta_x) - f(\eta)| \leq \alpha(x) \text{ is summable} \quad \square$$

- $\|f\| < \infty$ does not imply $f \in C(\{0,1\}^S)$. Example: $f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & : x \text{ has infinitely many ones} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Then $f(x_i) = f(x) \forall x \Rightarrow \|f\| = 0$, but f is not continuous because $x_i = (\underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{i \text{ times}}, 1, 1, \dots)$ satisfies

- $f(x_i) = 1 \forall i$
- $x_i \rightarrow (0, 0, \dots)$
- $f(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i) = 0$.

- For $f \in D$, the series $\sum_n c(n, m) [P(x_n) - P(x)]$ converges uniformly, and so Lf is continuous.
- If $c(n, \cdot) \equiv 0$ except for finitely many n , then we can define L on all of $C(\{0,1\}^S)$.

Construction of spin systems

Thm: Let $\gamma(x, y) = \sup_n |c(n, x_n) - c(n, y_n)|$

$$M = \sup_n \sum_{u \neq n} \gamma(x, y).$$

If $M < \infty$ then L is a generator.

First part of proof:

- a) D is an algebra: clearly, it is closed under sums, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|fg\| &= \sum_n \sup_x |f(x_n)g(x_n) - f(x)g(x)| \\ &\leq \sum_n \sup_x (|f(x_n)g(x_n) - f(x_n)g(x)| + |f(x_n)g(x) - f(x)g(x)|) \\ &\leq \sum_n (\|f\| \sup_x |g(x_n) - g(x)| + \|g\| \sup_x |f(x_n) - f(x)|) \\ &\leq \|f\| \|g\| + \|g\| \|f\| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

D separates points: if $x \neq y$ then $\exists n \in S: x_n \neq y_n$. Then $f(x_n) := x_n$ satisfies $f \in D$ and $f(x) \neq f(y)$.

D contains constant functions.

$\{0,1\}^S$ compact

$\Rightarrow D$ dense in $C(\{0,1\}^S)$ (Stone-Weierstrass)

- b) Suppose $f \in D$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $(I - \lambda L)f = g$. Compactness $\Rightarrow \exists x$ such that $f(x) = \inf_{\xi} f(\xi)$. Then $Lf(x) \geq 0$ by the definition of L .

$$\Rightarrow \min_x f(x) = f(x) \geq g(x) \geq \min_{\xi} g(\xi).$$

- d) $1 \in D$, $L1 = 0$.

It remains to show (c).

Definitions:

- $\ell_1(S)$ is the Banach space of functions $\alpha: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\|\alpha\|_{\ell_1} := \sum_n |\alpha(n)| < \infty$$

- for $f \in C(\mathbb{0}, \mathbb{1}^S)$, define $\Delta_f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Delta_f(n) = \sup_m |f(m_n) - f(m)|$$

Note: $\|\Delta_f\|_{\ell_1} = \|f\|$

- $\varepsilon = \inf_{u, m} [c(u, m) + c(m, m_u)]$

- if $M < \infty$, define $\Gamma: \ell_1(S) \rightarrow \ell_1(S)$ by

$$\Gamma\alpha(u) = \sum_{n \neq u} \alpha(n) \gamma(n, u).$$

Then $\|\Gamma\| = \sup_{\alpha \neq 0} \frac{\|\Gamma\alpha\|_{\ell_1}}{\|\alpha\|_{\ell_1}} = M.$

Prop: Suppose that either

a) $f \in D$, or

b) $f \in C(\mathbb{0}, \mathbb{1}^S)$ and $c(n, \cdot) = 0$ for all but finitely many n

If $(I - \lambda L)f = g \in D$, $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda M < 1 + \lambda\varepsilon$ then

$$\Delta_f \leq [(1 + \lambda\varepsilon)I - \lambda\Gamma]^{-1} \Delta_g \text{ pointwise,}$$

where $[(1 + \lambda\varepsilon)I - \lambda\Gamma]^{-1} \alpha = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda\varepsilon} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda\varepsilon}\right)^k \Gamma^k \alpha$

Proof: Since $(m_u)_u = m$,

$$\begin{aligned} g(m_u) - g(m) &= f(m_u) - f(m) - \lambda Lf(m_u) + \lambda Lf(m) \\ &= f(m_u) - f(m) - \lambda \sum_n \begin{pmatrix} c(n, m_u) [f(m_{n_u}) - f(m_u)] \\ - c(n, m) [f(m_n) - f(m)] \end{pmatrix} \\ &= f(m_u) - f(m) - \lambda \sum_{n \neq u} \begin{pmatrix} c(n, m_u) [f(m_{n_u}) - f(m_u)] \\ - c(n, m) [f(m_n) - f(m)] \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \lambda [c(u, m) + c(u, m_u)] [f(m_u) - f(m)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow [f(m_u) - f(m)] [1 + \lambda c(u, m) + \lambda c(u, m_u)]$$

$$= g(m_u) - g(m) + \lambda \sum_{n \neq u} \begin{pmatrix} c(n, m_u) [f(m_{n_u}) - f(m_u)] \\ + c(n, m) [f(m_n) - f(m)] \end{pmatrix}$$

For fixed u , $f(m_u) - f(m)$ is continuous in m .

$$\Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon_u \text{ such that } f(m_u) - f(m) \leq f(\xi_u) - f(\xi) \quad \forall m$$

Also, $f((\xi_u)_n) - f(\xi_u) = f((\xi_u)_n) - f(\xi_u) = f((\xi_u)_n) - f(\xi_n) + f(\xi_n) - f(\xi_u)$
 $\leq f(\xi_u) - f(\xi) + f(\xi_n) - f(\xi_u)$
 $= f(\xi_n) - f(\xi)$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_f(u) (1+\lambda\varepsilon) &\leq \Delta_g(u) [1 + \lambda c(u, m) + \lambda c(u, \mu u)] \\ &= [f(\xi_u) - f(\xi)] [1 + \lambda c(u, m) + \lambda c(u, \mu u)] \\ &\leq \Delta_g(u) + \lambda \sum_{x \neq u} [f(\xi_x) - f(\xi)] [c(x, \mu u) - c(x, m)] \\ &\leq \Delta_g(u) + \lambda \sum_{x \neq u} \gamma(x, u) \Delta_f(x).\end{aligned}$$

Under assumption (b), only finitely many $\gamma(x, u)$ are non-zero $\Rightarrow \Delta_f \in \mathcal{L}_1(S)$

Under assumption (a), $\Delta_f \in \mathcal{L}_1(S)$ by assumption.

In either case, $(1+\lambda\varepsilon) \Delta_f \leq \Delta_g + \lambda \Gamma \Delta_f$

$$\Delta_f \leq \frac{\Delta_g}{1+\lambda\varepsilon} + \frac{\lambda \Gamma \Delta_f}{1+\lambda\varepsilon}$$

Γ non-negative \Rightarrow by induction,

$$\Delta_f \leq \frac{1}{1+\lambda\varepsilon} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda\varepsilon}\right)^k \Gamma^k \Delta_g + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda\varepsilon}\right)^{n+1} \Gamma^{n+1} \Delta_f$$

taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ completes the proof.

Thm: If $M < \infty$ then $\mathcal{R}(I - \lambda L)$ is dense in $C\{0, 1\}^S$, and hence \bar{I} is a generator. The corresponding semigroup $T(t)$ satisfies

$$\|T(t)f\| \leq e^{(M-\varepsilon)t} \|f\|$$

In particular, $f \in D \Rightarrow T(t)f \in D$.

Pf: Take S_n finite, increasing to S . Set

$$L_n f(x) = \sum_{x \neq y \in S_n} c(x, y) [f(y) - f(x)].$$

Then L_n is a generator $\forall n$ (because it comes from a finite-state Markov chain). In particular, $\mathcal{R}(I - \lambda L_n) = C\{0, 1\}^{S_n} \forall \lambda > 0$.

Take $g \in D$, define f_n by $(I - \lambda L_n)f_n = g$, where $\lambda > 0$ satisfies $\lambda M < 1 + \lambda \varepsilon$. By the previous proposition, $f_n \in D$ and

$$(*) \quad \Delta f_n \leq ((1 + \lambda \varepsilon)I - \lambda \Gamma)^{-1} \Delta g.$$

Let $g_n = (I - \lambda L)f_n$, let $K = \sup_{x, y} c(x, y)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|(L - L_n)f_n\| &= \sup_x \left| \sum_{x \neq y \in S_n} c(x, y) [f(y) - f(x)] \right| \\ &\leq K \sum_{x \notin S_n} \Delta f_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_n - g\| &= \lambda \|(L - L_n)f_n\| \leq \lambda K \sum_{x \notin S_n} \Delta f_n(x) \\ &\leq \lambda K \sum_{x \notin S_n} ((1 + \lambda \varepsilon)I - \lambda \Gamma)^{-1} \Delta g. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_n \in \mathcal{R}(I - \lambda L) &\Rightarrow g \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(I - \lambda L)} \\ &\Rightarrow \mathcal{R}(I - \lambda L) \text{ dense in } D \\ &\Rightarrow \mathcal{R}(I - \lambda L) \text{ dense in } C\{0, 1\}^S. \end{aligned}$$

$\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ because $\Delta g \in \ell_1(S)$.

To prove the bound, let f solve $(I - \lambda L)f = g$. Since $(I - \lambda L)f_n \rightarrow g$ and $I - \lambda L$ is an expansion, $f_n \rightarrow f$.

$\Rightarrow \Delta f_n \rightarrow \Delta f$ in $\ell_\infty(S)$
 but $\|\Delta f_n\|_{\ell_1(S)}$ uniformly bounded $\Rightarrow \Delta f \in \ell_1(S)$, $\Delta f_n \rightarrow \Delta f$ in $\ell_1(S)$
 $\Rightarrow \Delta f \leq ((1 + \lambda \varepsilon)I - \lambda \Gamma)^{-1} \Delta g$.

$$\Rightarrow \Delta (I - \lambda L)^{-1} g \leq ((1 + \lambda \varepsilon)I - \lambda \Gamma)^{-1} \Delta g$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta (I - \frac{\varepsilon}{n} L)^{-n} g \leq ((1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \varepsilon)I - \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \Gamma)^{-n} \Delta g$$

↓

$$\Delta T(t)g \leq e^{-\varepsilon t} e^{t\Gamma} \Delta g$$

$$\Rightarrow \|T(t)g\| \leq e^{-\varepsilon t} e^{Mt} \|g\| \quad \square$$

Ergodicity

Def: A spin system is ergodic if there is a unique stationary distribution μ , and $T(t)f(x) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \int f d\mu \quad \forall f \in C(\{0,1\}^S)$.

(Equivalently, $\exists \mu: \forall T(t) \rightarrow \mu$ in distribution $\forall \nu$)

Thm: If $M < \infty$ then L is ergodic.

Pf: First, recall that $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \sum_{z: \eta(z) \neq \beta(z)} \Delta_f(z)$
 $\Rightarrow \sup_{x,y} |f(x) - f(y)| \leq \sum_z \Delta_f(z) = \|f\|$
 $\Rightarrow \sup_{x,y} |T(t)f(x) - T(t)f(y)| \leq \|T(t)f\|$
 $\leq e^{(M-\varepsilon)t} \|f\|$

Let μ be any stationary dist'n, ν any prob. measure on $\{0,1\}^S$.
Take $f \in D$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int f d\mu - \int f d\nu T(t) \right| \\ &= \left| \int T(t)f d\mu - \int T(t)f d\nu \right| \\ &= \left| \iint T(t)f(x) - T(t)f(y) \, d\mu(x) \, d\nu(y) \right| \\ &\leq e^{(M-\varepsilon)t} \|f\| \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since D is dense, $\forall T(t) \rightarrow \mu$ in distribution \square

Remark: this is very useful, because it is widely applicable. However, it is not usually sharp.

Examples:

• Contact process

Let S be a graph of bounded degree

$x \sim y$ denotes neighbors

$\deg(x) = \#\{y: y \sim x\}$

$D = \max_x \deg(x) < \infty$.

Define $c(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x=y \\ \lambda \# \{y \sim x: \eta(y)=1\} & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$

$\varepsilon = 1$

$M = \lambda D$

\Rightarrow the process is defined $\forall \lambda$
it is ergodic if $\lambda < \frac{1}{D}$.

Note: S_0 is always a stationary distribution.

• Ising model

$$S = \mathbb{Z}^d, \beta > 0$$

$$c(x, y) = \exp\left(-\beta \sum_{y \sim x} (-1)^{\eta(x) + \eta(y)}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\beta \sum_{y \sim x} (2\eta(x) - 1)(2\eta(y) - 1)\right)$$

$$c(x, x) + c(x, x_n) = \exp\left(-\beta \sum_{y \sim x} (-1)^{\eta(y)}\right) + \exp\left(\beta \sum_{y \sim x} (-1)^{\eta(y)}\right)$$

$$\geq 2 \quad (\text{AM-GM inequality } \frac{a+b}{2} \geq \sqrt{ab})$$

$$\Rightarrow \varepsilon \geq 2$$

$$c(x, y) - c(x, y_2) = \exp(-\beta k) - \exp(-\beta(k \pm 2)) \quad \text{if } k = \sum_{y \sim x} (-1)^{\eta(x) + \eta(y)}$$

$$\leq \exp(2\beta d)(1 - \exp(-2\beta)) \quad (\text{for } k = -2d)$$

$$\Rightarrow M \leq 2d e^{2d\beta} (1 - e^{-2\beta})$$

\Rightarrow process is defined $\forall \beta$,
ergodic for small β (depending on d)

Thm: $d=1 \Rightarrow$ always ergodic
 $d=2 \Rightarrow$ ergodic iff $\beta \leq \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + \sqrt{2})$
 $d \geq 3 \Rightarrow$ ergodic for small β , non-ergodic for large β .

Open problem: what is the correct range of β ?

Coupling, monotonicity, attractiveness

A coupling is a construction of two random variables on the same space.

Write η_t, ξ_t for spin systems.
 Say $\eta \leq \xi$ if $\eta(x) \leq \xi(x) \quad \forall x \in S$.

Thm: Let η_t, ξ_t be spin systems with rates c_1 and c_2 . Suppose that $\forall \eta \leq \xi$,

$$c_1(x, \eta) \leq c_2(x, \xi) \quad \text{if } \eta(x) = \xi(x) = 0$$

$$c_1(x, \eta) \geq c_2(x, \xi) \quad \text{if } \eta(x) = \xi(x) = 1$$

Then $\forall \eta \leq \xi \exists$ coupling $\mathcal{P}^{\eta, \xi}$ such that

$$P^{\eta, \xi}(\eta_t \leq \xi_t \quad \forall t \geq 0) = 1.$$

(sketch)

Proof: We define the coupling as a Feller process on $\{(0,0), (0,1), (1,1)\}^S$.
 At each site x , make transitions

$$(0,0) \rightarrow \begin{cases} (1,1) & \text{with rate } c_1(x, \eta) \\ (0,1) & \text{with rate } c_2(x, \xi) - c_1(x, \eta) \end{cases}$$

$$(0,1) \rightarrow \begin{cases} (0,0) & \text{with rate } c_2(x, \xi) \\ (1,1) & \text{with rate } c_1(x, \eta) \end{cases}$$

$$(1,1) \rightarrow \begin{cases} (0,0) & \text{with rate } c_2(x, \xi) \\ (0,1) & \text{with rate } c_1(x, \eta) - c_2(x, \xi) \end{cases}$$

More precisely, let $c(x, \sigma, \eta, \xi)$ be the rate of switching site x to value σ at configuration (η, ξ) . Define the generator

$$(Lf)(\eta, \xi) = \sum_{\substack{x \in S \\ \sigma}} c(x, \sigma, \eta, \xi) \left[f(\underbrace{(\eta, \xi)_{x, \sigma}}_{\text{change } (\eta, \xi) \text{ to } \sigma \text{ at site } x}) - f(\eta, \xi) \right]$$

Facts:

- this defines a generator
- if $f(\eta, \xi) = g(\eta)$ then $Lf(\eta, \xi) = L_1 g(\eta)$
- if $f(\eta, \xi) = g(\xi)$ then $Lf(\eta, \xi) = L_2 g(\xi)$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} T(t)f = T_1(t)g \\ T(t)f = T_2(t)g \end{cases}$$

Hence, if (η_t, ξ_t) are generated by L then η_t is generated by L_1 and ξ_t is generated by L_2 . $P^{(\eta, \xi)}(\eta_t \leq \xi_t \forall t) = 1$ because everything in the state space of L satisfies this. \square

Def: The spin system with rates c is attractive if $\eta \leq \xi$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} c(x, \eta) &\leq c(x, \xi) & \text{if } \eta(x) = \xi(x) = 0 \\ c(x, \eta) &\geq c(x, \xi) & \text{if } \eta(x) = \xi(x) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Examples

- contact process
- Ising model
- noisy voter model (homework)

Def: $f \in C(\{0, 1\}^S)$ is increasing if $f(\eta) \leq f(\xi)$ whenever $\eta \leq \xi$.

Def: $\mu \leq \nu$ if $\int f d\mu \leq \int f d\nu \quad \forall$ increasing f .

Remark: the above two definitions make sense on any partially ordered set. On \mathbb{R} , $\mu \leq \nu \Leftrightarrow \forall t, \mu(-\infty, t] \geq \nu(-\infty, t]$ "stochastic domination"

Prop: If $T(t)$ is the semigroup of an attractive spin system then

- f increasing $\Rightarrow T(t)f$ increasing
- $\mu \leq \nu \Rightarrow \mu T(t) \leq \nu T(t)$

Proof

- Suppose $\eta \leq \xi$. Let η_t, ξ_t be a coupling with $\eta_t \leq \xi_t \forall t$, $\eta_0 = \eta$, $\xi_0 = \xi$. Then

$$T(t)f(\eta) = E f(\eta_t) \leq E f(\xi_t) = T(t)f(\xi).$$

- For any increasing f , $\int f d\mu T(t) = \int T(t)f d\mu \leq \int T(t)f d\nu \stackrel{\text{(by (a))}}{=} \int f d\nu T(t) \quad \square$

Thm: Suppose $T(t)$ is the semigroup of an attractive spin system.

$\delta_0 =$ unit mass on $(0, 0, \dots)$

$\delta_1 =$ " " $(1, 1, \dots)$

a) $\forall s \leq t, \quad \delta_0 T(s) \leq \delta_0 T(t)$
 $\delta_1 T(s) \leq \delta_1 T(t)$

b) $\underline{\nu} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \delta_0 T(t)$
 $\bar{\nu} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \delta_1 T(t)$ } exist, are stationary.

c) $\forall \mu, t, \quad \delta_0 T(t) \leq \mu T(t) \leq \delta_1 T(t)$

d) if $\mu T(t_k) \rightarrow \nu$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ then $\underline{\nu} \leq \nu \leq \bar{\nu}$
(in particular, all stationary ν satisfy $\underline{\nu} \leq \nu \leq \bar{\nu}$.)

Cor: $T(t)$ is ergodic iff $\underline{\nu} = \bar{\nu}$.