

# Markov processes and PDE

Thm: Suppose  $L$  is a generator with semigroup  $T(t)$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ , the unique  $u(t, x)$  satisfying

$$* \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x) = (L u(t, \cdot))(x) & \forall x, t \\ u(0, x) = f(x) \\ \sup_{x, t} |u(t, x)| < \infty \\ \sup \left\{ \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x) \right| : x \in S, t \in [0, T] \right\} < \infty \quad \forall T. \end{cases} \quad \leftarrow \text{implicit here is the assumption } u(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{D}(L) \quad \forall t.$$

is  $u(t, x) = T(t)f(x)$

Proof: Instead of writing things like  $(T(t)u(t, \cdot))(x)$ , we will write  $T(t)u(t, \cdot)$ . It is easy to check that  $T(t)f(x)$  is a solution to  $(*)$ .

To prove uniqueness, it suffices to consider the case  $f(x) = 0$ .

Let  $u$  be a solution to  $(*)$  with  $f(x) = 0$ .

Set  $v(t, x) = e^{-\alpha t} u(t, x)$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t, x) &= -\alpha v(t, x) + L v(t, x) \\ &= -\alpha (I - \frac{1}{\alpha} L) v. \\ \Rightarrow v &= -\frac{1}{\alpha} (I - \frac{1}{\alpha} L)^{-1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \end{aligned}$$

$$v(t, x) = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha s} T(s) \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t, x) ds.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^r v(t, x) dt &= -\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^r \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha s} T(s) \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t, x) ds dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha s} T(s) \int_0^r \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t, x) dt ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha s} T(s) v(r, x) ds. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Now, } \sup_x |T(s)v(r, x)| \leq \sup_x |v(r, x)| \leq e^{-\alpha r} \sup_{x, t} |u(t, x)|$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \left| \int_0^r v(t, x) dt \right| &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha r} \sup_{x, t} |u(t, x)| \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha s} ds \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} u(t, x) dt = \int_0^\infty v(t, x) dt = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow u \equiv 0$$

□

## Duality

Suppose  $X_1(t)$  and  $X_2(t)$  are Feller processes on  $S_1, S_2$   
 $\begin{matrix} T_1(t) \\ L_1 \end{matrix}$        $\begin{matrix} T_2(t) \\ L_2 \end{matrix}$

$H: S_1 \times S_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  bounded, jointly measurable.

Def:  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are dual with respect to  $H$  if

$$E^{x_1} H(X_1(t), x_2) = E^{x_2} H(x_1, X_2(t)) \quad \forall x_1, x_2, t$$

Note that we write  $E^{x_1} H(X_1(t), x_2)$  instead of  $T_1(t)$ , since  $H(\cdot, x_2)$  may not be in  $C(S_1)$ .

Thm: Suppose  $(T_1(t)H)(x_1, \cdot) \in \mathcal{D}(L_2)$  and  $(T_2(t)H)(\cdot, x_2) \in \mathcal{D}(L_1)$   
 $\forall x_1, x_2, t$ . If  
 $(L_1 H(\cdot, x_2))(x_1) = (L_2 H(x_1, \cdot))(x_2) \quad \forall x_1, x_2$   
then  $X_1(t)$  and  $X_2(t)$  are dual with respect to  $H$

Proof: First, note that  $T_1(t)T_2(s)H = T_2(s)T_1(t)H$  by Fubini.  
Hence,  $T_1(t)L_2H = L_2T_1(t)H$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } u(t, x_1, x_2) &= (T_1(t)H)(x_1, x_2) \\ \text{Then } \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x_1, x_2) &= (T_1(t)L_1H)(x_1, x_2) \\ &= (T_1(t)L_2H)(x_1, x_2) \\ &= (L_2T_1(t)H)(x_1, x_2) \\ &= L_2u(t, x_1, x_2) \\ &\text{(more precisely)} = L_2(u(t, x_1, \cdot))(x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $v(t, x_2) = u(t, x_1, x_2)$ . Then  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t, x_2) = L_2v(t, x_2)$   
and  $\sup_{x_2 \in E} |v(t, x_2)| < \infty$  because  $T_1(t)$  is a contraction.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence, } v(t, x_2) &= (T_2(t)v(0, \cdot))(x_2) \\ &= (T_2(t)H(x_1, \cdot))(x_2) \\ \Rightarrow E^{x_1} H(X_1(t), x_2) &= u(t, x_1, x_2) = E^{x_2} H(x_1, X_2(t)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Actually, duality will be more useful when one of the two processes is a Markov chain: let  $X_1$  be a Markov chain and define

$$(T_1(t)f)(x) = \sum_y P_t(x, y) f(y) = E^x f(X_1(t))$$

$$(L_1f)(x) = \sum_y q(x, y) f(y)$$

$$\mathcal{D}(L_1) = \{f: \text{above converges } \forall x\}$$

$\text{KBE} \Rightarrow (T_1(t)f)(x)$  is continuously differentiable in  $t$ , and  
 $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(T_1(t)f)(x) = (T_1(t)L_1f)(x)$

Using this, the previous proof still goes through, even if  $X_1$  is not a Feller process.