

## "Markov Processes", Problem Sheet 11.

Hand in solutions before Monday 16.1., 2 pm

1. (Infinitesimal characterization of invariant measures). Consider a timehomogeneous continuous time Markov chain  $X_t = Y_{N_t}$  where  $(N_t)$  is a Poisson process with constant intensity  $\lambda > 0$ , and  $(Y_n)$  is an independent Markov chain with transition matrix  $\pi$  on a finite state space S.

a) Show that the transition function is given by

$$p_t(x,y) = P_x[X_t = y] = \exp(t\mathcal{L})(x,y),$$

where  $\mathcal{L} = \lambda(\pi - I)$  and  $\exp(t\mathcal{L})$  is the matrix exponential. Hence conclude that  $(p_t)_{t\geq 0}$  satisfies the forward and backward equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}p_t = p_t \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}p_t \quad \text{for } t \ge 0.$$

b) Prove that a probability measure  $\mu$  on S is invariant for  $(p_t)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{x \in S} \mu(x) \mathcal{L}(x, y) = 0 \quad \text{for any } y \in S.$$

c) Show that the transition matrices are self-adjoint in  $L^2(\mu)$ , i.e.,

$$\sum_{x \in S} f(x) (p_t g)(x) \mu(x) = \sum_{x \in S} (p_t f)(x) g(x) \mu(x) \quad \text{for any } t \ge 0, \ f, g: S \to \mathbb{R},$$

if and only if the generator  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies the detailed balance condition w.r.t.  $\mu$ . What does this mean for the process ?

2. (Simple exclusion process). Let  $\mathbb{Z}_n^d = \mathbb{Z}^d/(n\mathbb{Z})^d$  denote a discrete *d*-dimensional torus. The simple exclusion process on  $S = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}_n^d}$  is the Markov process with generator

$$(\mathcal{L}f)(\eta) = \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^d} \sum_{y: |y-x|=1} 1_{\{\eta(x)=1, \eta(y)=0\}} \cdot (f(\eta^{x,y}) - f(\eta)),$$

where  $\eta^{x,y}$  is the configuration obtained from  $\eta$  by exchanging the values at x and y. Show that any Bernoulli measure of type

$$\mu_p = \bigotimes_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^d} \nu_p, \qquad \nu_p(1) = p, \ \nu_p(0) = 1 - p,$$

 $p \in [0, 1]$ , is invariant. Why does this not contradict the fact that any irreducible Markov process on a finite state space has a unique stationary distribution ? (You may assume the statements of Exercise 1).

3. (Immigration-death process). Particles in a population die independently with rate  $\mu > 0$ . In addition, immigrants arrive with rate  $\lambda > 0$ . Assume that the population consists initially of one particle.

- a) Explain why the population size  $X_t$  can be modeled by a birth-death process with rates  $b(n) = \lambda$  and  $d(n) = n\mu$ .
- b) Show that the generating function  $G(s,t) = \mathbb{E}(s^{X_t})$  is given by

$$G(s,t) = \left\{1 + (s-1)e^{-\mu t}\right\} \exp\left\{\frac{\lambda}{\mu}(s-1)(1-e^{-\mu t})\right\}$$

c) Deduce the limiting distribution of  $X_t$  as  $t \to \infty$ .

4. (\*A non-explosion criterion for jump processes). Suppose that  $q_t(x, B) = \lambda_t(x)\pi_t(x, B)$  where  $\pi_t$  is a probability kernel on  $(S, \mathcal{B})$  and  $\lambda_t : S \to [0, \infty)$  is a measurable function. We consider the minimal jump process  $((X_t), P_{t_0, x_0})$  with jump times  $J_n$  and positions  $Y_n$  defined by the following algorithm:

- 1) Set  $J_0 := t_0$  and  $Y_0 := x_0$ .
- 2) For n := 1, 2, ... do
  - (i) Sample  $E_n \sim \text{Exp}(1)$  independently of  $Y_0, \ldots, Y_{n-1}, E_0, \ldots, E_{n-1}$ .
  - (ii) Set  $J_n := \inf \left\{ t \ge 0 : \int_{J_{n-1}}^t \lambda_s(Y_{n-1}) ds \ge E_n \right\}.$
  - (iii) Sample  $Y_n|(Y_0, \dots, Y_{n-1}, E_0, \dots, E_n) \sim \pi_{J_n}(Y_{n-1}, \cdot).$
- a) Explain why the construction coincides with the one in the lecture.
- b) Show that if  $\bar{\lambda} := \sup_{t \ge 0} \sup_{x \in S} \lambda_t(x) < \infty$ , then the explosion time  $\zeta = \sup J_n$  is almost surely infinite.
- c) In the time-homogeneous case, given  $\sigma(Y_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$ ,

$$J_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{E_k}{\lambda(Y_{n-1})}$$

is a sum of conditionally independent exponentially distributed random variables. Conclude that the events

$$\{\zeta < \infty\}$$
 and  $\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(Y_k)} < \infty\right\}$ 

coincide almost surely (apply Kolmogorov's 3-series Theorem).