A determinantal formula for the GOE Tracy-Widom distribution

Patrik L. Ferrari and Herbert Spohn

Technische Universität München Zentrum Mathematik and Physik Department e-mails: ferrari@ma.tum.de, spohn@ma.tum.de

4th May 2005

Abstract

Investigating the long time asymptotics of the totally asymmetric simple exclusion process, Sasamoto obtains rather indirectly a formula for the GOE Tracy-Widom distribution. We establish that his novel formula indeed agrees with more standard expressions.

1 Introduction

The Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE) of random matrices is a probability distribution on the set of $N \times N$ real symmetric matrices defined through

$$Z^{-1}e^{-\operatorname{Tr}(H^2)/4N}\mathrm{d}H.$$
 (1)

Z is the normalization constant and $dH = \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le N} dH_{i,j}$. The induced statistics of eigenvalues can be studied through the method of Pfaffians. Of particular interest for us is the statistics of the largest eigenvalue, E_1 . As proved by Tracy and Widom [8], the limit

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(E_1 \le 2N + sN^{1/3}\right) = F_1(s) \tag{2}$$

exists, \mathbb{P} being our generic symbol for probability of the event in parenthesis. F_1 is called the GOE Tracy-Widom distribution function. Following [3] it can be expressed in terms of a Fredholm determinant in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ as follows,

$$F_1(s)^2 = \det\left(\mathbb{1} - P_s(K + |g\rangle\langle f|)P_s\right),\tag{3}$$

where K is the Airy kernel defined through

$$K(x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} d\lambda \operatorname{Ai}(x+\lambda) \operatorname{Ai}(y+\lambda),$$

$$g(x) = \operatorname{Ai}(x),$$

$$f(y) = 1 - \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} d\lambda \operatorname{Ai}(y+\lambda),$$
(4)

and P_s is the projection onto the interval $[s, \infty)$.

The GOE Tracy-Widom distribution $F_1(s)$ turns up also in the theory of one-dimensional growth process in the KPZ universality class, KPZ standing for Kardar-Parisi-Zhang [4]. Let us denote the height profile of the growth process at time t by h(x, t), either $x \in \mathbb{R}$ or $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. One then starts the growth process with flat initial conditions, meaning h(x, 0) = 0, and considers the height above the origin x = 0 at growth time t. For large t it is expected that

$$h(0,t) = c_1 t + c_2 t^{1/3} \xi_1.$$
(5)

Here c_1 and c_2 are constants depending on the details of the model and ξ_1 is a random amplitude with

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi_1 \le s) = F_1(s). \tag{6}$$

For the polynuclear growth (PNG) model the height h(0, t) is related to the length of the longest increasing subsequence of symmetrized random permutations [5], for which Baik and Rains [1] indeed prove the asymptotics (5), (6), see [2] for further developments along this line. Very recently Sasamoto [6] succeeds in proving the corresponding result for the totally asymmetric simple exclusion process (TASEP). If $\eta_j(t)$ denotes the occupation variable at $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ at time t, then the TASEP height is given by

$$h(j,t) = \begin{cases} 2N_t + \sum_{i=1}^j (1 - 2\eta_i(t)) & \text{for } j \ge 1, \\ 2N_t & \text{for } j = 0, \\ 2N_t - \sum_{i=j+1}^0 (1 - 2\eta_i(t)) & \text{for } j \le -1, \end{cases}$$
(7)

with N_t denoting the number of particles which passed through the bond (0,1) up to time t. The flat initial condition for the TASEP is $\dots 01010101\dots$ For technical reasons Sasamoto takes instead $\dots 010100000\dots$ and studies the asymptotics of h(-3t/2,t) for large t with the result

$$h(-3t/2,t) = \frac{1}{2}t + \frac{1}{2}t^{1/3}\xi_{\rm SA}.$$
(8)

The distribution function of the random amplitude ξ_{SA} is

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi_{\mathrm{SA}} \le s) = F_{\mathrm{SA}}(s) \tag{9}$$

with

$$F_{\rm SA}(s) = \det(\mathbb{1} - P_s A P_s). \tag{10}$$

Here A has the kernel $A(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ai}((x+y)/2)$ and, as before, the Fredholm determinant is in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

The universality hypothesis for one-dimensional growth processes claims that in the scaling limit, up to model-dependent coefficients, the asymptotic distributions are identical. In particular, since (5) is proved for PNG, the TASEP with flat initial conditions should have the same limit distribution function, to say

$$F_{\rm SA}(s) = F_1(s). \tag{11}$$

Our contribution provides a proof for (11).

2 The identity

As written above, the s-dependence sits in the projection P_s . It will turn out to be more convenient to transfer the s-dependence into the integral kernel. From now on the determinants are understood as Fredholm determinants in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Thus, whenever we write an integral kernel like A(x, y), the arguments are understood as $x \ge 0$ and $y \ge 0$.

Let us define the operator B(s) with kernel

$$B(s)(x,y) = \operatorname{Ai}(x+y+s).$$
(12)

By [7] $||B(s)^2|| < 1$ and clearly B(s) is symmetric. Thus also ||B(s)|| < 1 for all s. B(s) is trace class with both positive and negative eigenvalues. Shifting the arguments in (10) by s, one notes that

$$F_{\rm SA}(s) = \det(\mathbb{1} - B(s)). \tag{13}$$

Applying the same operation to (3) yields

$$F_1(s)^2 = \det\left(\mathbb{1} - B(s)^2 - |g\rangle\langle f|\right) \tag{14}$$

with

$$g(x) = \operatorname{Ai}(x+s) = (B(s)\delta)(x), \qquad (15)$$

$$f(y) = 1 - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} d\lambda \operatorname{Ai}(y+\lambda+s) = ((\mathbb{1} - B(s))1)(y).$$

Here δ is the δ -function at x = 0 and 1 denotes the function 1(x) = 1 for all $x \ge 0$. δ and 1 are not in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Since the kernel of B(s) is continuous and has super-exponential decay, the action of B(s) is unambiguous.

Proposition 1. With the above definitions we have

$$\det(\mathbb{1} - B(s)) = F_1(s).$$
(16)

Proof. For simplicity we suppress the explicit s-dependence of B. We rewrite

$$F_1(s)^2 = \det \left((\mathbb{1} - B)(\mathbb{1} + B - |B\delta\rangle\langle 1|) \right)$$

=
$$\det(\mathbb{1} - B)\det(\mathbb{1} + B)\left(1 - \langle\delta, B(\mathbb{1} + B)^{-1}1\rangle\right)$$

=
$$\det(\mathbb{1} - B)\det(\mathbb{1} + B)\langle\delta, (\mathbb{1} + B)^{-1}1\rangle$$
(17)

since $1 = \langle \delta, 1 \rangle$. Thus we have to prove that

$$\det(\mathbb{1} - B) = \det(\mathbb{1} + B) \langle \delta, (\mathbb{1} + B)^{-1} 1 \rangle.$$
(18)

Taking the logarithm on both sides,

$$\ln \det(\mathbb{1} - B) = \ln \det(\mathbb{1} + B) + \ln \langle \delta, (\mathbb{1} + B)^{-1} 1 \rangle, \tag{19}$$

and differentiating it with respect to s results in

$$-\operatorname{Tr}\left((\mathbb{1}-B)^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}B\right) = \operatorname{Tr}\left((\mathbb{1}+B)^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}B\right) + \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\langle\delta,(\mathbb{1}+B)^{-1}1\rangle}{\langle\delta,(\mathbb{1}+B)^{-1}1\rangle} \quad (20)$$

where we used

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\ln(\det(T)) = \mathrm{Tr}\left(T^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}T\right).$$
(21)

Since $B(s) \to 0$ as $s \to \infty$, the integration constant for (20) vanishes and we have to establish that

$$-2\operatorname{Tr}\left((\mathbb{1}-B^2)^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}B)\right) = \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\langle\delta,(\mathbb{1}+B)^{-1}1\rangle}{\langle\delta,(\mathbb{1}+B)^{-1}1\rangle}.$$
(22)

Define the operator $D = \frac{d}{dx}$. Then using the cyclicity of the trace and Lemma 2,

$$-2\operatorname{Tr}\left((\mathbb{1}-B^2)^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}B)\right) = -2\operatorname{Tr}\left((\mathbb{1}-B^2)^{-1}DB\right)$$
$$= \langle \delta, (\mathbb{1}-B^2)^{-1}B\delta\rangle.$$
(23)

Using Lemma 3 and D1 = 0, one obtains

$$\langle \delta, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (\mathbb{1} + B)^{-1} 1 \rangle = \langle \delta, (\mathbb{1} - B^2)^{-1} B \delta \rangle \langle \delta, (\mathbb{1} + B)^{-1} 1 \rangle.$$
(24)

Thus (22) follows from (23) and (24).

4

Lemma 2. Let A be a symmetric, trace class operator with smooth kernel and let $D = \frac{d}{dx}$. Then

$$2\operatorname{Tr}(DA) = -\langle \delta, A\delta \rangle \tag{25}$$

where DA is the operator with kernel $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}A(x,y)$.

Proof. The claim follows from spectral representation of A and the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \mathrm{d}x f'(x) f(x) = -f(0)f(0) - \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \mathrm{d}x f(x) f'(x).$$
(26)

Lemma 3. It holds

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}(\mathbb{1}+B)^{-1} = (\mathbb{1}-B^2)^{-1}BD + (\mathbb{1}-B^2)^{-1}|B\delta\rangle\langle\delta(\mathbb{1}+B)^{-1}|.$$
 (27)

Proof. First notice that $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}B \equiv \dot{B} = DB$. For any test function f,

$$(\dot{B}f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathrm{d}y \partial_y \operatorname{Ai}(x+y+s)f(y)$$

= $-\operatorname{Ai}(x+s)f(0) - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathrm{d}y \operatorname{Ai}(x+y+s)f'(y).$ (28)

Thus, using the notation $P = |B\delta\rangle\langle\delta|$, one has

$$DB = -BD - P. (29)$$

Since ||B|| < 1, we can expand $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}(\mathbb{1} + B)^{-1}$ in a power series and get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}(\mathbb{1}+B)^{-1} = \sum_{n\geq 1} (-1)^n \frac{\partial}{\partial s} B^n = \sum_{n\geq 1} (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} B^k D B^{n-k}.$$
 (30)

Using recursively (29) we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} B^k D B^{n-k} = -\frac{1-(-1)^n}{2} B^n D + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=j}^{n-1} (-1)^{j+1} B^k P B^{n-k-1}$$
$$= -\frac{1-(-1)^n}{2} B^n D + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1+(-1)^k}{2} B^k P B^{n-k-1}.$$
(31)

Inserting (31) into (30) and exchanging the sums results in

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} (\mathbb{1} + B)^{-1} = \sum_{n \ge 1} B^{2n+1} D + \sum_{k \ge 0} \sum_{n \ge k+1} \frac{1 + (-1)^k}{2} B^k P(-B)^{n-(k+1)}$$
$$= (\mathbb{1} - B^2)^{-1} B D + (\mathbb{1} - B^2)^{-1} P(\mathbb{1} + B)^{-1}.$$
(32)

3 Outlook

The asymptotic distribution of the largest eigenvalue is also known for Gaussian unitary ensemble of Hermitian matrices ($\beta = 2$) and Gaussian symplectic ensemble of quaternionic symmetric matrices ($\beta = 4$). As just established, for $\beta = 1$,

$$F_1(s) = \det(1 - B(s)), \tag{33}$$

and, for $\beta = 2$,

$$F_2(s) = \det(\mathbb{1} - B(s)^2), \tag{34}$$

which might indicate that $F_4(s)$ equals $\det(\mathbb{1} - B(s)^4)$. This is however incorrect, since the decay of $\det(\mathbb{1} - B(s)^4)$ for large s is too rapid. Rather one has

$$F_4(s/\sqrt{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \big(\det(\mathbb{1} - B(s)) + \det(\mathbb{1} + B(s)) \big).$$
(35)

This last identity is obtained as follows. Let $U(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_s^\infty q(x) ds$ with q the unique solution of the Painlevé II equation $q'' = sq + 2q^3$ with $q(s) \sim \operatorname{Ai}(s)$ as $s \to \infty$. Then the Tracy-Widom distributions for $\beta = 1$ and $\beta = 4$ are given by

$$F_1(s) = \exp(-U(s))F_2(s)^{1/2}, \quad F_4(s/\sqrt{2}) = \cosh(U(s))F_2(s)^{1/2},$$
 (36)

see [8]. Thus $F_4(s/\sqrt{2}) = \frac{1}{2}(F_1(s) + F_2(s)/F_1(s))$, from which (35) is deduced.

References

- J. Baik and E.M. Rains, Symmetrized random permutaions, Random Matrix Models and Their Applications, vol. 40, Cambridge University Press, 2001, pp. 1–19.
- [2] P.L. Ferrari, Polynuclear growth on a flat substrate and edge scaling of GOE eigenvalues, Comm. Math. Phys. 252 (2004), 77–109.
- [3] P.J. Forrester, Painlevé trascendent evaluation of the scaled distribution of the smallest eigenvalue in the Laguerre orthogonal and symplectic ensembles, arXiv:nlin.SI/0005064 (2000).
- [4] K. Kardar, G. Parisi, and Y.Z. Zhang, Dynamic scaling of growing interfaces, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 (1986), 889–892.
- [5] M. Prähofer and H. Spohn, Universal distributions for growth processes in 1+1 dimensions and random matrices, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 (2000), 4882–4885.

- [6] T. Sasamoto, Spatial correlations of the 1D KPZ surface on a flat substrate, arXiv:cond-mat/0504417 (2005).
- [7] C.A. Tracy and H. Widom, Level-spacing distributions and the Airy kernel, Comm. Math. Phys. 159 (1994), 151–174.
- [8] C.A. Tracy and H. Widom, On orthogonal and symplectic matrix ensembles, Comm. Math. Phys. 177 (1996), 727–754.